JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

Case 2:19-cv-00267-CFK Document 1 Filed 01/18/19 Page 1 of 19 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Nancy Polla	rd		THISTOP	DEFENDANTS d/b/a Shaw	cor In	spection	Servic	es	
(b) County of Residence (E	of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	ontgomery C	Co., P	Shawcor, L A _{County of Residence} NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACE	e of First List (IN U.S. P	red Defendant Ha PLAINTIFF CASES (ION CASES, USE T	rris ^{Ser} CO	vice '' T	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Albert J. M. P.O. Box 40	Address, and Telephone Numb. ichell, PC (62, Philadel	^{cr)} tel# 215.92 phia, PA 19	2.258	Attorneys (If Known) 8)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CIT	IZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place on "Y" in	One Box fe	or Plaintit
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Government 🗶 3 Federal Question		. (1	or Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr	and One Box for		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	nip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen	of Another State	1 2 🗇 2	Incorporated and I of Business In		□ 5	J 5
			0.00	or Subject of a gign Country	1 3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		7 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			Leon			here for: Nature of	of Suit Code De	scriptions	S.
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS X 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Otherside Sentence 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	ATY	CABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	BAN 422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPEF 830 Paten 835 Paten New 840 Trade SOCIAL 861 HIA (862 Black 863 DIW(865 RS1 (FEDERA 870 Taxes or Dc 871 IRS— 26 U	characteristics and selected an	OTHER □ 375 False Cla □ 376 Qui Tam	aims Act 1 (31 USC) apportionm t t d Banking ce cion er Influence Organizatio er Credit at TV ss/Commod ge atutory Act ural Acts on trative Proc ew or Appe Decision tionality of	ed and ons dities/ tions ters ation cedure
	Cite the U.S. Civil State 42 U.S.C. Brief description of car Sex and according to the country of the country o	Appellate Court tute under which you ar 2000 et se use: ge discrimi	natio	ned Anothe (specify) not cite jurisdictional state U.S.C.\$63(er District nutes unless div 29 1		06 et s	1.	n - e
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DE	MAND S		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in XYes	complaint No	t:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 1/18/2019		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF	RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVII ACTION

	CIVILITOIN	
Desert NDT, LLC d/b/a Shawcor Inspection: Services Shawcor, Ltd. d/b/a Shawcor Inspection Services	NO.	
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plar plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in a filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.	all civil cases at the time plan set forth on the rever the plaintiff regarding sa	of rse aid
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRA	ACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 22	255. ()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secreta and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	ary of Health ()
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Lo	cal Civil Rule 53.2. ()

(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)

(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (X)

1/18/2019

Albert J. Michell, Esquire Plaintiff Nancy Pollard

Date Attorney-at-law Attorney for

215.922.2588 215.922.2590 amichell@netcarrier.com

Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Nancy Pollard

Case 2:19-cv-00267-CFK Document 1 Filed 01/18/19 Page 3 of 19 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Ad	Idress of Plaintiff: 1809 Willow Street, Norristown, PA 19401				
Ad	dress of Defendant: 5875 North Sam Houston Parkway West, Suite 200 Houston, TV 77006				
Pla	Norristown ace of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Montgomery County, PA				
	LATED CASE, IF ANY:				
	se Number: Date Terminated:				
Civ	il cases are deemed related when <i>Yes</i> is answered to any of the following questions:				
1.	Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No x				
2.	Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No X				
3.	Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?				
	Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No No				
	rtify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in				
DAT	Albert J. Michell, Esq. 76797				
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
CIVI	IL: (Place a √ in one category only)				
<i>A</i> .	Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
	 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts FELA Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury 				
	3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation				
	5. Patent 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Mater Valviale Personal Injury				
X	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify):				
	8. Habeas Corpus 9. Sequentian Act(s) Green 1. Floddets Elability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos				
	10. Social Security Review Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases				
	11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):				
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)				
I, A	albert J. Michell, Esq., counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:				
	X Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
DATE	1/18/2019 Albert J. Michell, Esq. 76797				
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable) E. A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Nancy Pollard

1809 Willow Street

Norristown, Pennsylvania 19401

Plaintiff

V.

CIVIL ACTION

DOCKET NO .:

Desert NDT, LLC
d/b/a Shawcor Inspection Services
5875 North Sam Houston Parkway West
Suite 200
Houston, Texas 77086
and
Shawcor, Ltd.
d/b/a Shawcor Inspection Services
5875 North Sam Houston Parkway West

Suite 200

Houston, Texas 77086

Defendants

COMPLAINT

Comes now the Plaintiff Nancy Pollard by and through counsel, Albert J. Michell, Esquire and for her complaint and cause of action, states as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Nancy Pollard (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "Ms. Pollard") is an adult female resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania living at 1809 Willow Street, Norristown, PA 19401 with a year of birth of 1953.
- 2. Defendant Desert NDT, LLC d/b/a Shawcor Inspection Services (hereinafter "Defendant" or "Desert") is a limited liability company licensed to do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania which performs inspection and other services for natural gas and oil companies, with a principal place of business at 5875 North Sam Houston Parkway West, Suite 200, Houston, TX 77086.
- 3. Defendant Shawcor, Ltd. d/b/a Shawcor Inspection Services (hereinafter "Defendant" or

- "Shawcor") is a corporation licensed to do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania which performs inspection and other services for natural gas and oil companies, with a principal place of business at 5875 North Sam Houston Parkway West, Suite 200, Houston, TX 77086. Upon information and belief, Shawcor purchased Desert in 2017.
- 4. Shawcor and Desert (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") are each engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 as amended, 29 U.S.C. Section 630 (hereinafter "ADEA") and within the meaning of Sections 701(g) and 701(h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e(g) and 2000e(h) (hereinafter "Title VII") and 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(d)(1) and 215(a)(2) (hereinafter "Equal Pay Act" or "EPA").
- 5. For each working day and for each of twenty or more calender weeks in the current calender year 2019 and at all other times relevant hereto, Defendants each employed 20 or more persons.
- 6. At all times relevant to this action each Defendant was an "employer" within the meaning of sections 4(e) and 11(b) of the Age Discrimination Act, 29 U.S.C. Sections 623(b) and 630(b), Section 701(b) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e(b) and Section 262 of the Equal Pay Act 29 U.S.C. § 206 et seq.
- 7. At all times relevant to this action each Defendant was an "employer" within the meaning of Section 4 of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. Section 954, Section 5 of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. Section 955, and the Pennsylvania Equal Pay Law, 43 P.S. §336.

JURISDICTION

- 8. Jurisdiction of this Court comes under 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1331 (federal question) based on Ms. Pollard's claims under the ADEA, Title VII and the EPA.
- 9. State law components of this action arise under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. Section 951 *et seq.*, and the Pennsylvania Equal Pay Law, 43 P.S. §336. This Court has supplemental subject matter jurisdiction over all state law components of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367(a).

VENUE

10. Venue in this district is proper because Defendants hired Plaintiff to work remotely in Norristown, PA, where she also resides.

PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

11. On or about May 15, 2018, within 180 days of the occurrence of the acts of discrimination of which she complains, Plaintiff Nancy Pollard filed a complaint against Defendants with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (hereinafter "EEOC"). Said complaint was concurrently filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission (hereinafter "PHRC"), alleging violations of Title VII and ADEA.

The EEOC and PHRC have held exclusive jurisdiction over Plaintiff's complaint for over 60 days.

To date the EEOC and PHRC have not reached a conciliation agreement to which Plaintiff is a party.

On about December 10, 2018 Plaintiff received from the EEOC a Notice of Right To Sue, dated December 7, 2018, allowing her to sue in Federal Court within ninety (90) days. (See attached hereto and incorporated herein as Plaintiff's Exhibit "1" Notice of Right to Sue letter dated December 7, 2018.)

FACTS

- 12. Plaintiff Nancy Pollard, a woman with a birth year of 1953, was hired by Defendant Desert in January 2014 for the position of Director, Talent. Plaintiff executed the duties of this human resources related position in an exemplary manner.
- 13. Desert was headquartered at 5875 North Sam Houston Parkway West, Suite 200, Houston, TX 77086, but Plaintiff was hired to, and did, work from her home at 1809 Willow Street, Norristown, PA 19401.
- 14. Upon information and belief in or about July 2015 Shawcor, a Canadian corporation based in Toronto, Canada, acquired Desert and re-branded this Houston based division Shawcor Inspection Services (hereinafter "Desert division").
- 15. Shortly after the acquisition, Diana Newmier, Vice President of Human Resources for

Defendants, a woman aged approximately 60 and the individual primarily responsible for hiring and supervising Plaintiff, was terminated. Her position was filled by Sally Beers, a less experienced, less qualified woman aged approximately mid 40s.

- 16. Plaintiff subsequently reported to Vice President of Human Resources and Operations for the Desert division Matt McCoy, a man in his early 40's.
- 17. Plaintiff essentially performed Mr. McCoy's human resource and training functions at this time.
- 18. In July of 2017 Wes Johnson was hired in the General Manager position of the Desert division and Mr. McCoy was transferred to a purely operations position.
- 19. Plaintiff was asked to head the human resources department of the Desert division and report to Mr. Johnson.
- 20. Defendants indicated to Plaintiff that she was to perform the duties of this position, Director of Human Resources, on an interim basis.
- 21. Plaintiff accepted this position but asked if she could return to her original position if things changed. Mr. Johnson indicated that she could do so.
- 22. The companywide announcement of Plaintiff's new position did not mention that her position was interim.
- 23. In September of 2017 Plaintiff attended a human resource managers orientation at Shawcor's Corporate headquarters in Toronto where she first met Shawcor's senior level human resource executives, including Paul Pierroz, Senior Vice-President of Human Resources, a male aged approximately late 40's, and Geoff Smith, Vice-President of Human Resources, a male aged approximately late 40's, among others.
- 24. In early December 2017 Plaintiff was informed by Mr. Johnson that her position was being "consolidated" and that she was being terminated and her last day would be March 30, 2018.
- 25. Plaintiff requested to be returned to her original position of Director, Talent as had been agreed, but her request was denied.
- 26. When Plaintiff questioned Mr. Johnson about the reason for her termination, he replied that the decision came from Canada and that they had "lost confidence in you".
- 27. Plaintiff's position of Director of Human Resources was not in fact consolidated, instead

she was ultimately replaced by Kyle Chrisman, a man approximately 42 years old.

- 28. Mr. Chrisman was transferred into a new position in the Dessert division titled "Director of Human Resources/Training" in December of 2017. Contemporaneously Plaintiff continued in her position of "Director of Human Resources" in the same division until her March 30, 2018 termination.
- 29. Her position ostensibly was "consolidated" but in reality, her position was re-named and filled by Mr. Chrisman.
- 30. At all times material and relevant hereto Plaintiff continued to be an excellent employee while in the position of Director of Human Resources.
- 31. In addition to being younger than Plaintiff, Mr. Chrisman was also less qualified and less experienced, having been transferred into the Director position from the non-managerial position of Human Resources Recruiter in another division of Shawcor.
- 32. Defendants kept Plaintiff on from December to March 30, 2018 for the purpose of her training Mr. Chrisman in human resource duties, which she did.
- 33. Mr. Chrisman was hired into the Director of Human Resources position at a salary of approximately \$125,000.00 and was bonus eligible.
- 34. Plaintiff''s ending salary was \$109,000.00 and she was not bonus eligible.
- 35. During her employment with Defendants Plaintiff was subjected to a male dominated hostile work environment which discouraged the inclusion of females. An example of this was Defendants' holding an outdoor gun shooting excursion as a "reward" to employees.
- 36. Despite being more productive than her younger male peers, Plaintiff was less valued as an employee.
- 37. Defendants, by and through Mr. Johnson and Mr. Chrisman, attempted to pressure Ms. Pollard into drafting the announcement of her leaving the company to untruthfully read that the decision was mutual or that she quit. Plaintiff refused.
- 38. Upon her termination Defendants retained Mr. Chrisman in Plaintiff's former position.
- 39. At all times prior to her termination, Plaintiff was capable and qualified to perform the duties and responsibilities of her position and in fact did so satisfactorily perform.
- 40. Defendants treated Plaintiff differently from other non-protected employees in Plaintiff's position on the basis of her age and sex, to her detriment.

41. Plaintiff was subjected to lower pay, bonuses, and benefits and the above adverse employment decisions by Defendants were made on the basis of her age and sex and not because of any legitimate business reasons.

COUNT I ADEA Violation

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 41 as though set forth in full herein.
- 43. The aforesaid actions of Defendants, by and through their agents and employees acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, discriminated against Plaintiff because of her age with regard to Plaintiff's unequal pay and bonuses. They also detrimentally affected other terms and conditions of her employment as described above and terminated her employment due to her age, all of which constituted violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. 621, et seq.
- 44. As a direct result of Defendants' willful, wrongful, and unlawful actions in discrimination against Plaintiff in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. 621, et seq., Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, damage to her reputation, loss of front and back pay, bonuses, benefits, and interest due thereon.
- 45. The above described acts and omissions of Defendants with regard to Plaintiff constituted a willful violation of the ADEA, warranting liquidated damages.

- (a) accepts jurisdiction over this matter;
- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - lost past and future wages, bonuses, and benefits including wage and bonus underpayments resulting from the unlawful practices described above, along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - ii. liquidated and compensatory damages to compensate her for future pecuniary and other non-pecuniary losses as allowable and to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;

- iii. attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, the costs of this action, and expenses as provided for by the ADEA; and
- iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT II

PHRA- Age Discrimination in Employment

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 45 as though set forth in full herein.
- 47. The aforesaid actions of Defendants, by and through their agents and employees acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, discriminated against Plaintiff because of her age with regard to Plaintiff's unequal pay and bonuses. They also detrimentally affected other terms and conditions of her employment as described above and terminated her employment due to her age, all of which constituted violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, Title 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. Section 951 *et seq*.
- 48. As a direct result of Defendants' willful, wrongful, and unlawful actions in discrimination against Plaintiff in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, Title 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. Section 951 *et seq.*, Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, loss of self-esteem, damage to her reputation, loss of front and back pay, bonuses, benefits, and interest due thereon.
- 49. The above described acts and omissions of Defendants with regard to Plaintiff constituted a willful violation of the PHRA, warranting liquidated damages.

- (a) accepts jurisdiction over this matter;
- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - lost past and future wages, bonuses, and benefits including wage and bonus underpayments resulting from the unlawful practices described above, along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - ii. liquidated and compensatory damages to compensate her for future pecuniary and other non-pecuniary losses as allowable and to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;

- iii. attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, the costs of this action, and expenses as provided for by the PHRA; and
- iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT III

<u>Title VII - Sex Discrimination in Employment</u> (Gender Discrimination in Terms and Conditions of Employment)

- 50. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 49 as though set forth in full herein.
- 51. By the conduct set forth in the Statement of Facts above Defendants engaged in unlawful employment discrimination in violation of Section 703 (a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-2(a).
- 52. Based on the foregoing, Defendants by and through their agents, servants, and employees engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Title VII's protections from sex discrimination. These include, but are not limited to, fostering and perpetuating a hostile work environment, detrimentally affecting the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment, and terminating Plaintiff.
- 53. Similarly situated males were not treated in this manner.
- 54. There existed in the Defendants respondeat superior liability for the actions of their agents and employees.
- 55. Defendants' employment practices deprived Plaintiff of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affected her status as an employee because of her sex.
- 56. The unlawful employment practices outlined above were intentional.
- 57. As a result of Defendants' action and conduct, Plaintiff has suffered emotional pain and distress, loss of income, loss of benefits, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures.
- 58. The above described acts and omissions of Defendants with regard to Plaintiff were egregious and were committed with a malicious, willful, and/or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiff.
- 59. Said acts and omissions of Defendants were committed by and through its management employees within their agency relationships with Defendants.
- 60. The extreme and outrageous acts and omissions of Defendants merit the imposition of

punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Nancy Pollard prays that this Court:

- (a) accepts jurisdiction over this matter;
- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - back pay, front pay, and all lost benefits along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - ii. punitive, liquidated, and compensatory damages including, but not limited to, damages for pain and suffering, anxiety, humiliation, physical injury, and emotional distress in order to compensate her for the injuries she has suffered and to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;
 - iii. reinstatement with applicable seniority, attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, the costs of this action, and expenses as provided for by applicable Federal statutes; and
 - iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT IV

PHRA - Sex Discrimination in Employment (Gender Discrimination in Terms and Conditions of Employment)

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 60 as though set forth in full herein.
- 62. By the conduct set forth in the Statement of Facts above Defendants engaged in unlawful employment discrimination in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.C. Section 955.
- 63. Based on the foregoing, Defendants by and through their agents, servants, and employees has engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of the PHRA's protections from sex discrimination. These include, but are not limited to, fostering and perpetuating a hostile work environment, detrimentally affecting the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment, including but not limited to unequal pay and bonuses, and terminating Plaintiff.

- 64. Similarly situated males were not treated in this manner.
- 65. There existed in the corporate Defendants respondeat superior liability for the actions of their agents and employees.
- 66. Defendants' employment practices deprived Plaintiff of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affected her status as an employee because of her sex.
- 67. The unlawful employment practices outlined above were intentional.
- 68. Defendants engaged in the unlawful employment practices outlined above with malice or reckless indifference to the state and municipality protected rights of Plaintiff.
- 69. As a result of Defendants' action and conduct, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, loss of income, loss of benefits, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Nancy Pollard prays that this Court:

- (a) accepts jurisdiction over this matter;
- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - i. back pay, front pay, and all lost benefits along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - ii. liquidated, and compensatory damages including, but not limited to, damages for pain and suffering, anxiety, humiliation, physical injury, and emotional distress in order to compensate her for the injuries she has suffered and to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;
 - iii. reinstatement with applicable seniority, attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, the costs of this action, and expenses as provided for by applicable Pennsylvania state statutes; and
 - iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT V

<u>Title VII - Sex Discrimination in Employment</u> (Gender-Based Compensation Discrimination)

- 70. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 69 as though set forth in full herein.
- 71. Based on the foregoing, Defendants by and through their agents, servants, and employees engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Title VII. These include, but are not limited to, paying Plaintiff less in salary and bonuses than her male counterparts.
- 72. Similarly situated males including specifically Mr. Chrisman performed the same or substantially similar job duties and shared a common supervisor and worked in the same workplace under the same conditions as Plaintiff, yet received higher pay as well as bonuses.
- 73. Defendants' employment practices deprived Plaintiff of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affected her status as an employee because of her sex.
- 74. The unlawful employment practices outlined above were intentional.
- 75. As a result of Defendants' action and conduct, Plaintiff has suffered emotional pain and distress, loss of income, loss of benefits, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures.
- 76. The above described acts and omissions of Defendants with regard to Plaintiff were egregious and were committed with a malicious, willful, and/or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiff.
- 77. Said acts and omissions of Defendants were committed by and through its management employees within their agency relationships with Defendants.
- 78. The extreme and outrageous acts and omissions of Defendants merit the imposition of punitive damages.

- (a) accepts jurisdiction over this matter;
- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - lost past and future wages, bonuses, and benefits resulting from the unlawful practices described above, along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - ii. Punitive, liquidated and compensatory damages including, but not limited to, damages for pain and suffering, anxiety, humiliation, and emotional distress in order to compensate her for the injuries

- she has suffered and to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;
- iii. attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, the costs of this action, and expenses as provided for by applicable Federal statutes; and
- iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT VI Equal Pay Act Violations

- 79. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 78 as though set forth in full herein.
- 80. By the conduct set forth in the Statement of Facts above Defendants violated Sections 6(d)(1) and 15(a)(2) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(d)(1) and 215(a)(2) (as amended by the EPA) by paying Plaintiff less in salary and bonuses than her similarly situated male counterparts including Mr. Chrisman.
- 81. Similarly situated males including specifically Mr. Chrisman performed the same or substantially equal work with similar job duties and shared a common supervisor and worked in the same workplace under the same conditions as Plaintiff, yet received higher pay as well as bonuses.
- 82. As a result of Defendants' action and conduct, Plaintiff has suffered lost wages as well as loss of bonuses and benefits.
- 83. The above described acts and omissions of Defendants with regard to Plaintiff were egregious and were committed with a malicious, willful, and/or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Plaintiff.
- 84. Said acts and omissions of Defendants were committed by and through its management employees within their agency relationships with Defendants.
- 85. The unlawful employment practices outlined above were unreasonable, intentional, and were committed by Defendants willfully and knowingly in violation of the EPA, constituting bad faith on their part, warranting imposition of liquidated damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Nancy Pollard prays that this Court:

(a) accepts jurisdiction over this matter;

- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - lost past wages, bonuses, and benefits resulting from the unlawful practices described above, along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - liquidated damages as permitted under the EPA to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;
 - iii. attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, the costs of this action, and expenses as provided for by the EPA; and
 - iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT VII

Pennsylvania Equal Pay Law Violations

- 86. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 85 as though set forth in full herein.
- 87. By the conduct set forth in the Statement of Facts above, Defendants engaged in unlawful employment discrimination in violation of the Pennsylvania Equal Pay Law, 43 P.S. §336 (hereinafter "EPL")
- 88. Based on the foregoing, Defendants by and through their agents, servants, and employees engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of the EPL. This includes paying Plaintiff less in salary and bonuses than her similarly situated male counterparts.
- 89. Similarly situated males including specifically Mr. Chrisman performed the same or substantially similar job duties and shared a common supervisor and worked in the same workplace under the same conditions as Plaintiff, yet received higher pay as well as bonuses.
- 90. Individuals of the opposite sex were paid more for performing work of substantially equal skill, effort and responsibility, under similar working conditions as Plaintiff.
- 91. The unlawful employment practices outlined above were unreasonable, intentional, and were committed by Defendants willfully and knowingly in violation of the EPL, constituting bad faith on their part, warranting imposition of liquidated damages.

- (a) accepts jurisdiction over this matter;
- (b) impanels and charges a jury with respect to the causes of action; and
- (c) awards the following damages:
 - lost past wages, bonuses, and benefits resulting from the unlawful practices described above, along with pre and post judgment interest;
 - ii. liquidated damages to signal to other employers that such acts in employment are repulsive to legislative enactments and public policy;
 - iii. attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, the costs of this action, and expenses as provided for by the EPL; and
 - iv. any other relief which this Court deems just and equitable.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all counts.

Dated: 1/18/2019

_/s/ Albert J. Michell

Albert J. Michell, Esquire

Attorney ID: 76797

ALBERT J. MICHELL, P.C.

40 West Evergreen Avenue

Suite 104

Philadelphia, PA 19118

Send correspondence to:

PO Box 4062

Philadelphia, PA 19118

Telephone: 215.922.2588

Validation of Signature Code: AJM4598

EXHIBIT "1" NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE LETTER

Case 2:19-cv-00267-CFK Document 1 Filed 01/18/19 Page 19 of 19

EEOC Form 161-B (11/16)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

To: Nancy Pollard	10 002 (78	BOLD ON NEQUEST)				
To: Nancy Pollard 1809 Willow Street Norristown, PA 19401		From: Philadelphia Distric 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19				
On behalf of person(s) aggric CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1	eved whose identity is 1601.7(a))					
EEOC Charge No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.			
530-2018-03816	Legal Unit,		* **			
330-2018-03816	Legal Technician		(215) 440-2828			
NOTICE TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:		See also the additional informat	ion enclosed with this form.)			
Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 Act (GINA): This is your Notice of Right been issued at your request. Your laws of your receipt of this notice; or your r state law may be different.)	it under Title VIII the ADA or CINA	A of GINA based on the above-r	numbered charge. It has			
More than 180 days have	More than 180 days have passed since the filing of this charge. Less than 180 days have passed since the filing of this charge, but I have determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will be able to complete its administrative processing within 180 days from the filing of this charge.					
Less than 180 days have be able to complete its ad						
X The EEOC is terminating i	ts processing of this charge.	and the ming of this charge.				
The EEOC will continue to						
Age Discrimination in Employment Ac 90 days after you receive notice that we your case:	t (ADEA): You may sue under the AD have completed action on the charge.	in this regard, the paragraph n	narked below applies to			
The EEOC is closing your case. Therefore, your lawsuit under the ADEA must be filed in federal or state court <u>WIT 90 DAYS</u> of your receipt of this Notice. Otherwise, your right to sue based on the above-numbered charge will be lo						
The EEOC is continuing its you may file suit in federal	s handling of your ADEA case. However or state court under the ADEA at this	ver, if 60 days have passed since time.	the filing of the charge,			
Equal Pay Act (EPA): You already have n federal or state court within 2 years (3 years violations that occurred more than	the right to sue under the EPA (filing an ears for willful violations) of the alleged 1.2 years (3 years) before you file su	n EEOC charge is not required.) EPA underpayment. This mean uit may not be collectible.	EPA suits must be brought s that backpay due for			
f you file suit, based on this charge, please	e send a copy of your court complaint t	o this office.				
	On behalf of	the Commission				
	Jania Rillian	141	10/2/018			
Enclosures(s)	Jamie R. Willia District Dire	,	(Date Mailed)			

CC:

Gareth Post Senior Human Resources Manager SHAWCOR, LTD. D/B/A/ SHAWCOR INSPECTION SERVICES

Albert Michell ALBERT J. MICHELL, P.C.